

TIMBRE PLUS DECKING

Mirotone (NZ) LTD

Chemwatch Hazard Alert Code: 2

Chemwatch: 84-8878

Issue Date: 01/09/2017

Version No: 2.1.1.1

Print Date: 08/05/2019

Safety Data Sheet according to HSNO Regulations

L.GHS.NZL.EN

SECTION 1 IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE / MIXTURE AND OF THE COMPANY / UNDERTAKING

Product Identifier

Product name	TIMBRE PLUS DECKING
Synonyms	TIM PLUS DECKING; TP DECKING; NOTE: In addition to the primary colours listed above, this product may be tinted (colour matched); in an infinite colour range. Each customised / colour matched version of this product is assigned a unique colour number / reference and a colour name. All colour matched versions of this product conform to the gCompositionh in Section 3 of this Safety Data Sheet.
Other means of identification	Not Available

Relevant identified uses of the substance or mixture and uses advised against

Relevant identified uses	for full details on application and properties consult the technical datasheet. Exterior decking and walkways.
--------------------------	--

Details of the supplier of the safety data sheet

Registered company name	Mirotone (NZ) LTD
Address	32 Cryers Road East Tamaki, Auckland New Zealand
Telephone	+64 800 346 474
Fax	+64 800 346 434
Website	www.mirotone.co.nz
Email	information@mirotone.co.nz

Emergency telephone number

Association / Organisation	Not Available	CHEMWATCH EMERGENCY RESPONSE
Emergency telephone numbers	111 FIRE AND EMERGENCY	+64 800 700 112
Other emergency telephone numbers	0800 764 766 NATIONAL POISON CENTRE	+61 2 9186 1132

SECTION 2 HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

Classification of the substance or mixture

Classification ^[1]	Acute Toxicity (Oral) Category 5, Skin Corrosion/Irritation Category 3, Skin Sensitizer Category 1
Legend:	1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from CCID EPA NZ; 3. Classification drawn from Regulation (EU) No 1272/2008 - Annex VI
Determined by Chemwatch using GHS/HSNO criteria	6.1E (oral), 6.3B, 6.5B (contact)

Label elements

Hazard pictogram(s)	
---------------------	---

SIGNAL WORD

WARNING

Continued...

Hazard statement(s)

H303	May be harmful if swallowed.
H316	Causes mild skin irritation.
H317	May cause an allergic skin reaction.

Precautionary statement(s) Prevention

P280	Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection.
P261	Avoid breathing mist/vapours/spray.
P272	Contaminated work clothing should not be allowed out of the workplace.

Precautionary statement(s) Response

P312	Call a POISON CENTER/doctor/physician/first aider/if you feel unwell.
P302+P352	IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of water and soap.
P333+P313	If skin irritation or rash occurs: Get medical advice/attention.
P362+P364	Take off contaminated clothing and wash it before reuse.

Precautionary statement(s) Storage

Not Applicable

Precautionary statement(s) Disposal

P501	Dispose of contents/container in accordance with local regulations.
-------------	---

SECTION 3 COMPOSITION / INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS**Substances**

See section below for composition of Mixtures

Mixtures

CAS No	%[weight]	Name
Not Available	10-30	resins
112-34-5	<2	<u>diethylene glycol monobutyl ether</u>
9043-30-5	<1	<u>isotridecyl alcohol, ethoxylated</u>
41556-26-7	<0.5	<u>bis(1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl)sebacate</u>
104810-47-1	<0.5	<u>Tinuvin 1130</u>
121-44-8	<0.5	<u>triethylamine</u>
104810-48-2	<0.2	<u>Tinuvin 213</u>
82919-37-7	<0.2	<u>methyl 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl sebacate</u>
55406-53-6	<0.2	<u>3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate</u>
68439-45-2	<0.1	<u>alcohols C6-C12 ethoxylated</u>
Not Available	<0.1	isothiazolinones
22464-99-9	<0.1	<u>zirconium 2-ethylhexanoate</u>
366-18-7	<0.05	<u>2,2'-bipyridyl</u>
Not Available	balance	Ingredients determined not to be hazardous

SECTION 4 FIRST AID MEASURES**Description of first aid measures**

Eye Contact	<p>If this product comes in contact with eyes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Wash out immediately with water. ▶ If irritation continues, seek medical attention. ▶ Removal of contact lenses after an eye injury should only be undertaken by skilled personnel.
Skin Contact	<p>If skin contact occurs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Immediately remove all contaminated clothing, including footwear. ▶ Flush skin and hair with running water (and soap if available). ▶ Seek medical attention in event of irritation.

Inhalation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ If fumes, aerosols or combustion products are inhaled remove from contaminated area. ▶ Other measures are usually unnecessary.
Ingestion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Immediately give a glass of water. ▶ First aid is not generally required. If in doubt, contact a Poisons Information Centre or a doctor.

Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

Treat symptomatically.

SECTION 5 FIREFIGHTING MEASURES

Extinguishing media

The product contains a substantial proportion of water, therefore there are no restrictions on the type of extinguishing media which may be used. Choice of extinguishing media should take into account surrounding areas.

Though the material is non-combustible, evaporation of water from the mixture, caused by the heat of nearby fire, may produce floating layers of combustible substances.

In such an event consider:

- ▶ foam.
- ▶ dry chemical powder.
- ▶ carbon dioxide.

Special hazards arising from the substrate or mixture

Fire Incompatibility	None known.
-----------------------------	-------------

Advice for firefighters

Fire Fighting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard. ▶ Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves in the event of a fire. ▶ Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water courses. ▶ Use fire fighting procedures suitable for surrounding area. ▶ DO NOT approach containers suspected to be hot. ▶ Cool fire exposed containers with water spray from a protected location. ▶ If safe to do so, remove containers from path of fire. ▶ Equipment should be thoroughly decontaminated after use.
Fire/Explosion Hazard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The material is not readily combustible under normal conditions. ▶ However, it will break down under fire conditions and the organic component may burn. ▶ Not considered to be a significant fire risk. ▶ Heat may cause expansion or decomposition with violent rupture of containers. ▶ Decomposes on heating and may produce toxic fumes of carbon monoxide (CO). ▶ May emit acrid smoke. <p>Decomposes on heating and produces toxic fumes of: carbon dioxide (CO₂) nitrogen oxides (NO_x) other pyrolysis products typical of burning organic material. May emit poisonous fumes.</p>

SECTION 6 ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

See section 8

Environmental precautions

See section 12

Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

Minor Spills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Clean up all spills immediately. ▶ Avoid breathing vapours and contact with skin and eyes. ▶ Control personal contact with the substance, by using protective equipment. ▶ Contain and absorb spill with sand, earth, inert material or vermiculite. ▶ Wipe up. ▶ Place in a suitable, labelled container for waste disposal. 										
Major Spills	<p>Chemical Class: aliphatic hydrocarbons For release onto land: recommended sorbents listed in order of priority.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">SORBENT TYPE</th> <th style="text-align: left;">RANK</th> <th style="text-align: left;">APPLICATION</th> <th style="text-align: left;">COLLECTION</th> <th style="text-align: left;">LIMITATIONS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	SORBENT TYPE	RANK	APPLICATION	COLLECTION	LIMITATIONS					
SORBENT TYPE	RANK	APPLICATION	COLLECTION	LIMITATIONS							

Continued...

TIMBRE PLUS DECKING

LAND SPILL - SMALL

cross-linked polymer - particulate	1	shovel	shovel	R, W, SS
cross-linked polymer - pillow	1	throw	pitchfork	R, DGC, RT
wood fiber - pillow	2	throw	pitchfork	R, P, DGC, RT
treated wood fibre- pillow	2	throw	pitchfork	DGC, RT
sorbent clay - particulate	3	shovel	shovel	R, I, P
foamed glass - pillow	3	throw	pitchfork	R, P, DGC, RT

LAND SPILL - MEDIUM

cross-linked polymer - particulate	1	blower	skiloader	R,W, SS
cross-linked polymer - pillow	2	throw	skiloader	R, DGC, RT
sorbent clay - particulate	3	blower	skiloader	R, I, P
polypropylene - particulate	3	blower	skiloader	W, SS, DGC
expanded mineral - particulate	4	blower	skiloader	R, I, W, P, DGC
polypropylene - mat	4	throw	skiloader	DGC, RT

Legend

DGC: Not effective where ground cover is dense

R; Not reusable

I: Not incinerable

P: Effectiveness reduced when rainy

RT:Not effective where terrain is rugged

SS: Not for use within environmentally sensitive sites

W: Effectiveness reduced when windy

Reference: Sorbents for Liquid Hazardous Substance Cleanup and Control;

R.W Melvold et al: Pollution Technology Review No. 150: Noyes Data Corporation 1988

Moderate hazard.

- ▶ Clear area of personnel and move upwind.
- ▶ Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard.
- ▶ Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves.
- ▶ Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water course.
- ▶ Stop leak if safe to do so.
- ▶ Contain spill with sand, earth or vermiculite.
- ▶ Collect recoverable product into labelled containers for recycling.
- ▶ Neutralise/decontaminate residue (see Section 13 for specific agent).
- ▶ Collect solid residues and seal in labelled drums for disposal.
- ▶ Wash area and prevent runoff into drains.
- ▶ After clean up operations, decontaminate and launder all protective clothing and equipment before storing and re-using.
- ▶ If contamination of drains or waterways occurs, advise emergency services.

Personal Protective Equipment advice is contained in Section 8 of the SDS.

SECTION 7 HANDLING AND STORAGE

Precautions for safe handling

Safe handling

- ▶ **DO NOT allow clothing wet with material to stay in contact with skin**
- ▶ Avoid all personal contact, including inhalation.
- ▶ Wear protective clothing when risk of exposure occurs.
- ▶ Use in a well-ventilated area.
- ▶ Prevent concentration in hollows and sumps.
- ▶ **DO NOT enter confined spaces until atmosphere has been checked.**
- ▶ **DO NOT allow material to contact humans, exposed food or food utensils.**
- ▶ Avoid contact with incompatible materials.
- ▶ **When handling, DO NOT eat, drink or smoke.**
- ▶ Keep containers securely sealed when not in use.
- ▶ Avoid physical damage to containers.
- ▶ Always wash hands with soap and water after handling.
- ▶ Work clothes should be laundered separately. Launder contaminated clothing before re-use.
- ▶ Use good occupational work practice.
- ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.
- ▶ Atmosphere should be regularly checked against established exposure standards to ensure safe working conditions are maintained.

Other information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Store in original containers. ▶ Keep containers securely sealed. ▶ Store in a cool, dry, well-ventilated area. ▶ Store away from incompatible materials and foodstuff containers. ▶ Protect containers against physical damage and check regularly for leaks. ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.
--------------------------	---

Conditions for safe storage, including any incompatibilities

Suitable container	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Polyethylene or polypropylene container. ▶ Packing as recommended by manufacturer. ▶ Check all containers are clearly labelled and free from leaks.
Storage incompatibility	None known

SECTION 8 EXPOSURE CONTROLS / PERSONAL PROTECTION

Control parameters

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS (OEL)

INGREDIENT DATA

Source	Ingredient	Material name	TWA	STEL	Peak	Notes
New Zealand Workplace Exposure Standards (WES)	triethylamine	Triethylamine	3 ppm / 12 mg/m ³	20 mg/m ³ / 5 ppm	Not Available	(skin) - Skin absorption
New Zealand Workplace Exposure Standards (WES)	zirconium 2-ethylhexanoate	Zirconium and compounds, as Zr	5 mg/m ³	10 mg/m ³	Not Available	Not Available

EMERGENCY LIMITS

Ingredient	Material name	TEEL-1	TEEL-2	TEEL-3
diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	Butoxyethoxy)ethanol, 2-(2-; (Diethylene glycol monobutyl ether)	30 ppm	33 ppm	200 ppm
triethylamine	Triethylamine	1 ppm	170 ppm	1,000 ppm
3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate	Butyl-3-iodo-2-propynylcarbamate	3.3 mg/m ³	36 mg/m ³	220 mg/m ³

Ingredient	Original IDLH	Revised IDLH
diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	Not Available	Not Available
isotridecyl alcohol, ethoxylated	Not Available	Not Available
bis(1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl)sebacate	Not Available	Not Available
Tinuvin 1130	Not Available	Not Available
triethylamine	200 ppm	Not Available
Tinuvin 213	Not Available	Not Available
methyl 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl sebacate	Not Available	Not Available
3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate	Not Available	Not Available
alcohols C6-C12 ethoxylated	Not Available	Not Available
zirconium 2-ethylhexanoate	25 mg/m ³	Not Available
2,2'-bipyridyl	Not Available	Not Available

MATERIAL DATA

Exposed individuals are **NOT** reasonably expected to be warned, by smell, that the Exposure Standard is being exceeded.

Odour Safety Factor (OSF) is determined to fall into either Class C, D or E.

The Odour Safety Factor (OSF) is defined as:

OSF= Exposure Standard (TWA) ppm/ Odour Threshold Value (OTV) ppm

Continued...

Classification into classes follows:

Class	OSF	Description
A	550	Over 90% of exposed individuals are aware by smell that the Exposure Standard (TLV-TWA for example) is being reached, even when distracted by working activities
B	26-550	As "A" for 50-90% of persons being distracted
C	1-26	As "A" for less than 50% of persons being distracted
D	0.18-1	10-50% of persons aware of being tested perceive by smell that the Exposure Standard is being reached
E	<0.18	As "D" for less than 10% of persons aware of being tested

For triethylamine:

Odour Threshold Value: <0.1-0.65 ppm (detection), 0.27-29.0 ppm (recognition)

NOTE: Detector tubes for triethylamine, measuring in excess of 5 ppm, are commercially available.

Exposure at or below the recommended TLV-TWA or STEL is thought to provide worker protection against acute ocular, upper respiratory tract and pulmonary irritation. Nevertheless reports of visual disturbance in workers exposed at concentrations as low as 3 ppm have been cited in literature.

Odour Safety Factor (OSF)

OSF=2.1 (TRIETHYLAMINE)

Exposure controls

Engineering controls are used to remove a hazard or place a barrier between the worker and the hazard. Well-designed engineering controls can be highly effective in protecting workers and will typically be independent of worker interactions to provide this high level of protection.

The basic types of engineering controls are:

Process controls which involve changing the way a job activity or process is done to reduce the risk.

Enclosure and/or isolation of emission source which keeps a selected hazard "physically" away from the worker and ventilation that strategically "adds" and "removes" air in the work environment. Ventilation can remove or dilute an air contaminant if designed properly. The design of a ventilation system must match the particular process and chemical or contaminant in use.

Employers may need to use multiple types of controls to prevent employee overexposure.

Local exhaust ventilation usually required. If risk of overexposure exists, wear approved respirator. Correct fit is essential to obtain adequate protection. Supplied-air type respirator may be required in special circumstances. Correct fit is essential to ensure adequate protection.

An approved self contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) may be required in some situations.

Provide adequate ventilation in warehouse or closed storage area. Air contaminants generated in the workplace possess varying "escape" velocities which, in turn, determine the "capture velocities" of fresh circulating air required to effectively remove the contaminant.

Type of Contaminant:	Air Speed:
solvent, vapours, degreasing etc., evaporating from tank (in still air).	0.25-0.5 m/s (50-100 f/min.)
aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers, welding, spray drift, plating acid fumes, pickling (released at low velocity into zone of active generation)	0.5-1 m/s (100-200 f/min.)
direct spray, spray painting in shallow booths, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion)	1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min.)
grinding, abrasive blasting, tumbling, high speed wheel generated dusts (released at high initial velocity into zone of very high rapid air motion).	2.5-10 m/s (500-2000 f/min.)

Within each range the appropriate value depends on:

Lower end of the range	Upper end of the range
1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture	1: Disturbing room air currents
2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only.	2: Contaminants of high toxicity
3: Intermittent, low production.	3: High production, heavy use
4: Large hood or large air mass in motion	4: Small hood-local control only

Simple theory shows that air velocity falls rapidly with distance away from the opening of a simple extraction pipe. Velocity generally decreases with the square of distance from the extraction point (in simple cases). Therefore the air speed at the extraction point should be adjusted, accordingly, after reference to distance from the contaminating source. The air velocity at the extraction fan, for example, should be a minimum of 1-2 m/s (200-400 f/min) for extraction of solvents generated in a tank 2 meters distant from the extraction point. Other mechanical considerations, producing performance deficits within the extraction apparatus, make it essential that theoretical air velocities are multiplied by factors of 10 or more when extraction systems are installed or used.

Personal protection



TIMBRE PLUS DECKING

Eye and face protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Safety glasses with side shields. ▶ Chemical goggles. ▶ Contact lenses may pose a special hazard; soft contact lenses may absorb and concentrate irritants. A written policy document, describing the wearing of lenses or restrictions on use, should be created for each workplace or task. This should include a review of lens absorption and adsorption for the class of chemicals in use and an account of injury experience. Medical and first-aid personnel should be trained in their removal and suitable equipment should be readily available. In the event of chemical exposure, begin eye irrigation immediately and remove contact lens as soon as practicable. Lens should be removed at the first signs of eye redness or irritation - lens should be removed in a clean environment only after workers have washed hands thoroughly. [CDC NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin 59], [AS/NZS 1336 or national equivalent]
Skin protection	See Hand protection below
Hands/feet protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Wear chemical protective gloves, e.g. PVC. ▶ Wear safety footwear or safety gumboots, e.g. Rubber <p>NOTE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The material may produce skin sensitisation in predisposed individuals. Care must be taken, when removing gloves and other protective equipment, to avoid all possible skin contact. ▶ Contaminated leather items, such as shoes, belts and watch-bands should be removed and destroyed. <p>The selection of suitable gloves does not only depend on the material, but also on further marks of quality which vary from manufacturer to manufacturer. Where the chemical is a preparation of several substances, the resistance of the glove material can not be calculated in advance and has therefore to be checked prior to the application. The exact break through time for substances has to be obtained from the manufacturer of the protective gloves and has to be observed when making a final choice.</p> <p>Personal hygiene is a key element of effective hand care. Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.</p> <p>Suitability and durability of glove type is dependent on usage. Important factors in the selection of gloves include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · frequency and duration of contact, · chemical resistance of glove material, · glove thickness and · dexterity <p>Select gloves tested to a relevant standard (e.g. Europe EN 374, US F739, AS/NZS 2161.1 or national equivalent).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · When prolonged or frequently repeated contact may occur, a glove with a protection class of 5 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 240 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. · When only brief contact is expected, a glove with a protection class of 3 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 60 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. · Some glove polymer types are less affected by movement and this should be taken into account when considering gloves for long-term use. · Contaminated gloves should be replaced. <p>As defined in ASTM F-739-96 in any application, gloves are rated as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Excellent when breakthrough time > 480 min · Good when breakthrough time > 20 min · Fair when breakthrough time < 20 min · Poor when glove material degrades <p>For general applications, gloves with a thickness typically greater than 0.35 mm, are recommended.</p> <p>It should be emphasised that glove thickness is not necessarily a good predictor of glove resistance to a specific chemical, as the permeation efficiency of the glove will be dependent on the exact composition of the glove material. Therefore, glove selection should also be based on consideration of the task requirements and knowledge of breakthrough times.</p> <p>Glove thickness may also vary depending on the glove manufacturer, the glove type and the glove model. Therefore, the manufacturers' technical data should always be taken into account to ensure selection of the most appropriate glove for the task.</p> <p>Note: Depending on the activity being conducted, gloves of varying thickness may be required for specific tasks. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Thinner gloves (down to 0.1 mm or less) may be required where a high degree of manual dexterity is needed. However, these gloves are only likely to give short duration protection and would normally be just for single use applications, then disposed of. · Thicker gloves (up to 3 mm or more) may be required where there is a mechanical (as well as a chemical) risk i.e. where there is abrasion or puncture potential <p>Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.</p>
Body protection	See Other protection below
Other protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Overalls. ▶ P.V.C. apron. ▶ Barrier cream. ▶ Skin cleansing cream. ▶ Eye wash unit.

Recommended material(s)

GLOVE SELECTION INDEX

Glove selection is based on a modified presentation of the:

Respiratory protection

Type AK-P Filter of sufficient capacity. (AS/NZS 1716 & 1715, EN

Continued...

"Forsberg Clothing Performance Index".

The effect(s) of the following substance(s) are taken into account in the **computer-generated** selection:

TIMBRE PLUS DECKING

Material	CPI
BUTYL	C
NAT+NEOPR+NITRILE	C
NATURAL RUBBER	C
NEOPRENE	C
NITRILE	C
PE/EVAL/PE	C
PVA	C
PVC	C
SARANEX-23	C
VITON	C

* CPI - Chemwatch Performance Index

A: Best Selection

B: Satisfactory; may degrade after 4 hours continuous immersion

C: Poor to Dangerous Choice for other than short term immersion

NOTE: As a series of factors will influence the actual performance of the glove, a final selection must be based on detailed observation. -

* Where the glove is to be used on a short term, casual or infrequent basis, factors such as "feel" or convenience (e.g. disposability), may dictate a choice of gloves which might otherwise be unsuitable following long-term or frequent use. A qualified practitioner should be consulted.

143:2000 & 149:2001, ANSI Z88 or national equivalent)

Where the concentration of gas/particulates in the breathing zone, approaches or exceeds the "Exposure Standard" (or ES), respiratory protection is required.

Degree of protection varies with both face-piece and Class of filter; the nature of protection varies with Type of filter.

Required Minimum Protection Factor	Half-Face Respirator	Full-Face Respirator	Powered Air Respirator
up to 10 x ES	AK-AUS P2	-	AK-PAPR-AUS / Class 1 P2
up to 50 x ES	-	AK-AUS / Class 1 P2	-
up to 100 x ES	-	AK-2 P2	AK-PAPR-2 P2 ^

^ - Full-face

A(All classes) = Organic vapours, B AUS or B1 = Acid gasses, B2 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), B3 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), E = Sulfur dioxide(SO₂), G = Agricultural chemicals, K = Ammonia(NH₃), Hg = Mercury, NO = Oxides of nitrogen, MB = Methyl bromide, AX = Low boiling point organic compounds(below 65 degC)

- ▶ Cartridge respirators should never be used for emergency ingress or in areas of unknown vapour concentrations or oxygen content.
- ▶ The wearer must be warned to leave the contaminated area immediately on detecting any odours through the respirator. The odour may indicate that the mask is not functioning properly, that the vapour concentration is too high, or that the mask is not properly fitted. Because of these limitations, only restricted use of cartridge respirators is considered appropriate.
- ▶ Cartridge performance is affected by humidity. Cartridges should be changed after 2 hr of continuous use unless it is determined that the humidity is less than 75%, in which case, cartridges can be used for 4 hr. Used cartridges should be discarded daily, regardless of the length of time used

SECTION 9 PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES**Information on basic physical and chemical properties**

Appearance	Brown to coloured low viscosity non-flammable liquid with a characteristic odour; miscible with water.		
Physical state	Liquid	Relative density (Water = 1)	0.98-1.08
Odour	Not Available	Partition coefficient n-octanol / water	Not Available
Odour threshold	Not Available	Auto-ignition temperature (°C)	Not Available
pH (as supplied)	8-10	Decomposition temperature	Not Available
Melting point / freezing point (°C)	Not Available	Viscosity (cSt)	670-890 @23C
Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C)	102	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Not Applicable
Flash point (°C)	Not Applicable	Taste	Not Available
Evaporation rate	Not Available	Explosive properties	Not Available
Flammability	Not Applicable	Oxidising properties	Not Available
Upper Explosive Limit (%)	Not Available	Surface Tension (dyn/cm or mN/m)	Not Available
Lower Explosive Limit (%)	Not Available	Volatile Component (%vol)	71-78
Vapour pressure (kPa)	2.3 @23C	Gas group	Not Available
Solubility in water	Miscible	pH as a solution (1%)	Not Available
Vapour density (Air = 1)	0.7	VOC g/L	39-43

Continued...

SECTION 10 STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

Reactivity	See section 7
Chemical stability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Unstable in the presence of incompatible materials. ▶ Product is considered stable. ▶ Hazardous polymerisation will not occur.
Possibility of hazardous reactions	See section 7
Conditions to avoid	See section 7
Incompatible materials	See section 7
Hazardous decomposition products	See section 5

SECTION 11 TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Information on toxicological effects

Inhaled	<p>The material is not thought to produce adverse health effects or irritation of the respiratory tract (as classified by EC Directives using animal models). Nevertheless, good hygiene practice requires that exposure be kept to a minimum and that suitable control measures be used in an occupational setting.</p> <p>Not normally a hazard due to non-volatile nature of product</p> <p>Central nervous system (CNS) depression may include nonspecific discomfort, symptoms of giddiness, headache, dizziness, nausea, anaesthetic effects, slowed reaction time, slurred speech and may progress to unconsciousness. Serious poisonings may result in respiratory depression and may be fatal.</p>
Ingestion	<p>The material has NOT been classified by EC Directives or other classification systems as "harmful by ingestion". This is because of the lack of corroborating animal or human evidence. The material may still be damaging to the health of the individual, following ingestion, especially where pre-existing organ (e.g liver, kidney) damage is evident. Present definitions of harmful or toxic substances are generally based on doses producing mortality rather than those producing morbidity (disease, ill-health). Gastrointestinal tract discomfort may produce nausea and vomiting. In an occupational setting however, ingestion of insignificant quantities is not thought to be cause for concern.</p>
Skin Contact	<p>The material is not thought to produce adverse health effects or skin irritation following contact (as classified by EC Directives using animal models). Nevertheless, good hygiene practice requires that exposure be kept to a minimum and that suitable gloves be used in an occupational setting.</p> <p>Open cuts, abraded or irritated skin should not be exposed to this material</p>
Eye	<p>Although the liquid is not thought to be an irritant (as classified by EC Directives), direct contact with the eye may produce transient discomfort characterised by tearing or conjunctival redness (as with windburn).</p>
Chronic	<p>Practical experience shows that skin contact with the material is capable either of inducing a sensitisation reaction in a substantial number of individuals, and/or of producing a positive response in experimental animals. Limited evidence suggests that repeated or long-term occupational exposure may produce cumulative health effects involving organs or biochemical systems.</p>

TIMBRE PLUS DECKING	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Not Available	Not Available
diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Dermal (rabbit) LD50: >2000 mg/kg ^[2] Oral (rat) LD50: =4500 mg/kg ^[2]	Eye (rabbit): 20 mg/24h moderate Eye (rabbit): 5 mg - SEVERE
isotridecyl alcohol, ethoxylated	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Not Available	Not Available
bis(1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl)sebacate	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Oral (rat) LD50: 3100 mg/kg ^[2]	Not Available
Tinuvin 1130	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	dermal (rat) LD50: >2000 mg/kg ^[1] Oral (rat) LD50: >5000 mg/kg ^[1]	Not Available
triethylamine	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Dermal (rabbit) LD50: 416.1 mg/kg ^[2]	Eye (rabbit): 0.25 mg/24h SEVERE

TIMBRE PLUS DECKING

	Inhalation (rat) LC50: 3.675 mg/l1 h ^[1]	Eye(rabbit): 50ppm/30d int SEVERE
	Oral (rat) LD50: =460 mg/kg ^[2]	Skin (rabbit): 365 mg open mild
Tinuvin 213	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	dermal (rat) LD50: >2000 mg/kg ^[1]	Skin (guinea pig): Strong sensit.
	Oral (rat) LD50: >5000 mg/kg ^[1]	Skin (rabbit): non-irritant
methyl 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl sebacate	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Not Available	Not Available
3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	dermal (rat) LD50: >2000 mg/kg ^[2]	Eye: adverse effect observed (irreversible damage) ^[1]
	Inhalation (rat) LC50: 0.680 mg/l/4h* ^[2]	Eye: Irritating
	Oral (rat) LD50: 1056 mg/kg ^[2]	Skin: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) ^[1]
		Skin: Slight irritant
alcohols C6-C12 ethoxylated	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Dermal (rabbit) LD50: 1500 mg/kg ^[2]	Eye (rabbit): 58/110 moderate*(2)
	Oral (rat) LD50: 5100 mg/kg ^[2]	Skin (rabbit): 3.2/8.0 moderate*
zirconium 2-ethylhexanoate	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	dermal (rat) LD50: >2000 mg/kg ^[1]	Not Available
	Inhalation (rat) LC50: >2.2 mg/l/1H ^[2]	
	Oral (rat) LD50: 2043 mg/kg ^[1]	
2,2'-bipyridyl	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	dermal (rat) LD50: =250-600 mg/kg ^[2]	Not Available
	Oral (rat) LD50: 100 mg/kg ^[2]	
Legend:	1. Value obtained from Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Acute toxicity 2. * Value obtained from manufacturer's SDS. Unless otherwise specified data extracted from RTECS - Register of Toxic Effect of chemical Substances	

DIETHYLENE GLYCOL MONOBUTYL ETHER

For diethylene glycol monoalkyl ethers and their acetates:

This category includes diethylene glycol ethyl ether (DGEE), diethylene glycol propyl ether (DGPE) diethylene glycol butyl ether (DGBE) and diethylene glycol hexyl ether (DGHE) and their acetates.

Acute toxicity: There are adequate oral, inhalation and/or dermal toxicity studies on the category members. Oral LD50 values in rats for all category members are all > 3000 mg/kg bw, with values generally decreasing with increasing molecular weight. Four to eight hour acute inhalation toxicity studies were conducted for all category members except DGPE in rats at the highest vapour concentrations achievable. No lethality was observed for any of these materials under these conditions. Dermal LD50 values in rabbits range from 2000 mg/kg bw (DGHE) to 15000 mg/kg bw (DGEEA). Signs of acute toxicity in rodents are consistent with non-specific CNS depression typical of organic solvents in general. All category members are slightly irritating to skin and slightly to moderately irritating to eyes (with the exception of DGHE, which is highly irritating to eyes). Sensitisation tests with DGEE, DGEEA, DGPE, DGBE and DGBEA in animals and/or humans were negative.

Repeat dose toxicity: Valid oral studies conducted using DGEE, DGPE, DGBEA, DGHE and the supporting chemical DGBE ranged in duration from 30 days to 2 years. Effects predominantly included kidney and liver toxicity, absolute and/or relative changes in organ weights, and some changes in haematological parameters. All effects were seen at doses greater than 800-1000 mg/kg bw/day from oral or dermal studies; no systemic effects were observed in inhalation studies with less than continuous exposure regimens.

Mutagenicity: DGEE, DGEEA, DGBE, DGBEA and DGHE generally tested negative for mutagenicity in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and TA1538 and DGBEA tested negative in *E. coli* WP2uvrA, with and without metabolic activation. *In vitro* cytogenicity and sister chromatid exchange assays with DGBE and DGHE in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells with and without metabolic activation and *in vivo* micronucleus or cytogenicity tests with DGEE, DGPE and DGHE in rats and mice were negative, indicating that these diethylene glycol ethers are not likely to be genotoxic.

Reproductive and developmental toxicity: Reliable reproductive toxicity studies on DGEE, DGBE and DGHE show no effect on fertility at the highest oral doses tested (4,400 mg/kg/day for DGEE in the mouse and 1,000 mg/kg/day for DGBE and DGHE in the rat). The dermal NOAEL for reproductive toxicity in rats administered DGBE also was the highest dose tested (2,000 mg/kg/day). Although decreased sperm motility was noted in F1 mice treated with 4,400 mg/kg/day DGEE in drinking water for 14 weeks, sperm concentrations and morphology, histopathology of the testes and fertility were not affected. Results of the majority of adequate repeated dose toxicity studies in which reproductive organs were examined indicate that DGPE and DGBEA do not cause toxicity to reproductive organs (including the testes). Test

TIMBRE PLUS DECKING

	<p>material-related testicular toxicity was not noted in the majority of the studies with DGEE or DGEEA. Results of the developmental toxicity studies conducted with DGEE, DGBE and DGHE are almost exclusively negative. In these studies, effects on the foetus are generally not observed (even at concentrations that produced maternal toxicity). Exposure to 102 ppm (560 mg/m³) DGEE by inhalation (maximal achievable vapour concentration) or 1385 mg/kg/day DGEE by the dermal route during gestation did not cause maternal or developmental toxicity in the rat. Maternal toxicity and teratogenesis were not observed in rabbits receiving up to 1000 mg/kg/day DGBE by the dermal route during gestation; however a transient decrease in body weight was observed, which reversed by Day 21 In the mouse, the only concentration of DGEE tested (3500 mg/kg/day by gavage) caused maternal, but no foetal toxicity. Also, whereas oral administration of 2050 mg/kg/day DGBE (gavage) to the mouse and 1000 mg/kg/day DGHE (dietary) caused maternal toxicity, these doses had no effect on the developing foetus</p>
<p>ISOTRIDECYL ALCOHOL, ETHOXYLATED</p>	<p>Polyethers, for example, ethoxylated surfactants and polyethylene glycols, are highly susceptible towards air oxidation as the ether oxygens will stabilize intermediary radicals involved. Investigations of a chemically well-defined alcohol (pentaethylene glycol mono-n-dodecyl ether) ethoxylate, showed that polyethers form complex mixtures of oxidation products when exposed to air.</p> <p>Sensitization studies in guinea pigs revealed that the pure nonoxidized surfactant itself is nonsensitizing but that many of the investigated oxidation products are sensitizers. Two hydroperoxides were identified in the oxidation mixture, but only one (16-hydroperoxy-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaheptacosan-1-ol) was stable enough to be isolated. It was found to be a strong sensitizer in LLNA (local lymph node assay for detection of sensitization capacity). The formation of other hydroperoxides was indicated by the detection of their corresponding aldehydes in the oxidation mixture .</p> <p>On the basis of the lower irritancy, nonionic surfactants are often preferred to ionic surfactants in topical products. However, their susceptibility towards autoxidation also increases the irritation. Because of their irritating effect, it is difficult to diagnose ACD to these compounds by patch testing.</p> <p>Allergic Contact Dermatitis—Formation, Structural Requirements, and Reactivity of Skin Sensitizers. Ann-Therese Karlberg et al; Chem. Res. Toxicol.2008,21,53-69</p> <p>Polyethylene glycols (PEGs) have a wide variety of PEG-derived mixtures due to their readily linkable terminal primary hydroxyl groups in combination with many possible compounds and complexes such as ethers, fatty acids, castor oils, amines, propylene glycols, among other derivatives. PEGs and their derivatives are broadly utilized in cosmetic products as surfactants, emulsifiers, cleansing agents, humectants, and skin conditioners.</p> <p>PEGs and PEG derivatives were generally regulated as safe for use in cosmetics, with the conditions that impurities and by-products, such as ethylene oxides and 1,4-dioxane, which are known carcinogenic materials, should be removed before they are mixed in cosmetic formulations.</p> <p>Most PEGs are commonly available commercially as mixtures of different oligomer sizes in broadly- or narrowly-defined molecular weight (MW) ranges. For instance, PEG-10,000 typically designates a mixture of PEG molecules (n = 195 to 265) having an average MW of 10,000. PEG is also known as polyethylene oxide (PEO) or polyoxyethylene (POE), with the three names being chemical synonyms. However, PEGs mainly refer to oligomers and polymers with molecular masses below 20,000 g/mol, while PEOs are polymers with molecular masses above 20,000 g/mol, and POEs are polymers of any molecular mass. Relatively small molecular weight PEGs are produced by the chemical reaction between ethylene oxide and water or ethylene glycol (or other ethylene glycol oligomers), as catalyzed by acidic or basic catalysts. To produce PEO or high-molecular weight PEGs, synthesis is performed by suspension polymerization. It is necessary to hold the growing polymer chain in solution during the course of the poly-condensation process. The reaction is catalyzed by magnesium-, aluminum-, or calcium-organoelement compounds. To prevent coagulation of polymer chains in the solution, chelating additives such as dimethylglyoxime are used</p> <p>Safety Evaluation of Polyethylene Glycol (PEG) Compounds for Cosmetic Use: Toxicol Res 2015; 31:105-136 The Korean Society of Toxicology http://doi.org/10.5487/TR.2015.31.2.105</p>
<p>TRIETHYLAMINE</p>	<p>While it is difficult to generalise about the full range of potential health effects posed by exposure to the many different amine compounds, characterised by those used in the manufacture of polyurethane and polyisocyanurate foams, it is agreed that overexposure to the majority of these materials may cause adverse health effects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Many amine-based compounds can induce histamine liberation, which, in turn, can trigger allergic and other physiological effects, including bronchoconstriction or bronchial asthma and rhinitis. ▶ Systemic symptoms include headache, nausea, faintness, anxiety, a decrease in blood pressure, tachycardia (rapid heartbeat), itching, erythema (reddening of the skin), urticaria (hives), and facial edema (swelling). Systemic effects (those affecting the body) that are related to the pharmacological action of amines are usually transient. <p>Typically, there are four routes of possible or potential exposure: inhalation, skin contact, eye contact, and ingestion.</p> <p>Inhalation:</p> <p>Inhalation of vapors may, depending upon the physical and chemical properties of the specific product and the degree and length of exposure, result in moderate to severe irritation of the tissues of the nose and throat and can irritate the lungs. Products with higher vapour pressures have a greater potential for higher airborne concentrations. This increases the probability of worker exposure.</p> <p>Higher concentrations of certain amines can produce severe respiratory irritation, characterised by nasal discharge, coughing, difficulty in breathing, and chest pains.</p> <p>Chronic exposure via inhalation may cause headache, nausea, vomiting, drowsiness, sore throat, bronchopneumonia, and possible lung damage. Also, repeated and/or prolonged exposure to some amines may result in liver disorders, jaundice, and liver enlargement. Some amines have been shown to cause kidney, blood, and central nervous system disorders in laboratory animal studies.</p> <p>While most polyurethane amine catalysts are not sensitizers, some certain individuals may also become sensitized to amines and may experience respiratory distress, including asthma-like attacks, whenever they are subsequently exposed to even very small amounts of vapor. Once sensitised, these individuals must avoid any further exposure to amines. Although chronic or repeated inhalation of vapor concentrations below hazardous or recommended exposure limits should not ordinarily affect healthy individuals, chronic overexposure may lead to permanent pulmonary injury, including a</p>

reduction in lung function, breathlessness, chronic bronchitis, and immunologic lung disease.

Inhalation hazards are increased when exposure to amine catalysts occurs in situations that produce aerosols, mists, or heated vapors. Such situations include leaks in fitting or transfer lines. Medical conditions generally aggravated by inhalation exposure include asthma, bronchitis, and emphysema.

Skin Contact:

Skin contact with amine catalysts poses a number of concerns. Direct skin contact can cause moderate to severe irritation and injury-i.e., from simple redness and swelling to painful blistering, ulceration, and chemical burns. Repeated or prolonged exposure may also result in severe cumulative dermatitis.

Skin contact with some amines may result in allergic sensitisation. Sensitised persons should avoid all contact with amine catalysts. Systemic effects resulting from the absorption of the amines through skin exposure may include headaches, nausea, faintness, anxiety, decrease in blood pressure, reddening of the skin, hives, and facial swelling. These symptoms may be related to the pharmacological action of the amines, and they are usually transient.

Eye Contact:

Amine catalysts are alkaline in nature and their vapours are irritating to the eyes, even at low concentrations.

Direct contact with the liquid amine may cause severe irritation and tissue injury, and the "burning" may lead to blindness. (Contact with solid products may result in mechanical irritation, pain, and corneal injury.)

Exposed persons may experience excessive tearing, burning, conjunctivitis, and corneal swelling.

The corneal swelling may manifest itself in visual disturbances such as blurred or "foggy" vision with a blue tint ("blue haze") and sometimes a halo phenomenon around lights. These symptoms are transient and usually disappear when exposure ceases.

Some individuals may experience this effect even when exposed to concentrations below doses that ordinarily cause respiratory irritation.

Ingestion:

The oral toxicity of amine catalysts varies from moderately to very toxic.

Some amines can cause severe irritation, ulceration, or burns of the mouth, throat, esophagus, and gastrointestinal tract.

Material aspirated (due to vomiting) can damage the bronchial tubes and the lungs.

Affected persons also may experience pain in the chest or abdomen, nausea, bleeding of the throat and the gastrointestinal tract, diarrhea, dizziness, drowsiness, thirst, circulatory collapse, coma, and even death.

Polyurethane Amine Catalysts: Guidelines for Safe Handling and Disposal; Technical Bulletin June 2000

Alliance for Polyurethanes Industry

The material may cause skin irritation after prolonged or repeated exposure and may produce a contact dermatitis (nonallergic). This form of dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling epidermis.

Histologically there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.

Inhalation (human) TCLo: 12mg/m³/11W contin.Skin (rabbit)mild

TINUVIN 213

Inhalation (rat) LC50: > 5.8 mg/l Aerosol Eye (rabbit): non-irritant Ames Test: Non Mutagenic

**3-iodo-2-propynyl
BUTYL CARBAMATE**

for 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate (IPBC):

Acute toxicity: Acceptable acute toxicity studies with IPBC indicate low toxicity except eye irritation. In a primary eye irritation study in rabbit. IPBC technical was severely irritating to the eyes of white rabbits, with corneal opacity and corneal vascularization reported in unwashed eyes by day 21 post-treatment. The technical grade of IPBC was slightly irritating to the skin of white rabbits. In a dermal sensitization study in Guinea pigs

IPBC technical, at a concentration of 0.32%, produced no evidence of sensitization in male and female Guinea pigs.

Subchronic toxicity: In a subchronic oral toxicity study, male and female Sprague-Dawley rats received IPBC technical by gavage for 13 weeks at doses of 0, 20, 50, and 125 mg/kg/day. At the 125 mg/kg/day dose level, body weight gain was decreased by 19% in male rats for weeks 1-13 of the study, and by 12% in female rats over the same period.

Absolute liver weight was increased by 20% in male rats at the 125 mg/kg/day dose, and by 31% in female rats at this dose level. Liver to body weight ratio was significantly increased by approximately 31% in both male and female rats at the 125 mg/kg/day dose level, while kidney to body weight ratio in female rats was increased 18% at the 125 mg/kg/day dose level. The systemic NOEL was considered to be 20 mg/kg/day, while the systemic LEL was considered to be 50 mg/kg/day, based on increased liver to body weight ratio.

In a subchronic dermal toxicity study, male and female Sprague-Dawley rats (10/sex/dose) received dermal doses of 50, 200, and 500 mg/kg/day IPBC technical grade (97.5%) to the shaved skin for five days a week, six hours per day. At the 500 mg/kg/day dose, decreased body weight (4-6%) and weight gain (11%) were observed in male rats, but not in female rats. In female rats, significant increases in haemoglobin, haematocrit, and eosinophils were observed at the 500

mg/kg/day dose level. Reticulocytes as a percentage of red cells were decreased in the 50 and 200 mg/kg/day dose groups but not at the 500 mg/kg/day dose level. Females in this study showed inhibition of plasma cholinesterase at 500 mg/kg/day test article, which may have been the result of either direct liver toxicity or inhibition of cholinesterase itself.

Based upon the results of this study, the systemic NOEL is 200 mg/kg/day, the systemic LEL is 500 mg/kg/day for male and female rats.

Carcinogenicity: In a 2-year chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study, technical grade IPBC (98.68% ai) was administered to male and female Sprague Dawley rats (50/sex/group) at dose levels of 0, 20, 40, and 80 mg/kg/day. There were no statistically significant increases in tumor incidences in male rats. The incidence of mammary gland fibroadenoma and combined fibroadenoma/carcinoma in female rats was significantly increased at the 20 mg/kg/day dose level but there was no dose-related trend.

Developmental and reproductive toxicity: The developmental toxicity of IPBC was assessed in pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats on gestation days six through 15 by oral administration of the test chemical at doses of 0, 20, 50, and 125 mg/kg/day. Maternal toxicity as reduced body weight gain during dosing was observed at the 125 mg/kg/day dose level. Developmental toxicity consisted of an increased incidence of skeletal abnormalities at the 125 mg/kg/day dose level.

The maternal toxicity NOEL was determined to be 50 mg/kg/day, and the maternal toxicity LEL was determined to be 125 mg/kg/day, based on reduced body weight gain. The developmental toxicity NOEL was determined to be 50 mg/kg/day, and the developmental toxicity LEL was determined to be 125 mg/kg/day, based on incompletely ossified frontal skull

	<p>bones and pelvic girdles.</p> <p>A 2-generation reproductive toxicity study was conducted in male and female Sprague- Dawley rats. IPBC technical was administered over two generations at doses of 0, 120, 300, and 750 ppm (0, 6, 15, and 37.5 mg/kg/day). Reduced body weight and food consumption was observed for P1 and F1 males during the premating period at the 37.5 mg/kg/day dose. A decreased mean live birth index was reported for P1 and F1 generations without an effect on viability and development of pups. No adverse effects on reproductive indices or mating performance were observed at any dose level. The parental toxicity NOEL was determined to be 15 mg/kg/day, and the parental toxicity LEL was determined to be 37.5 mg/kg/day, based on decreased body weight and food consumption during premating for P1 and F1 males, and decreased mean live birth index for the P1 and F1 generations. The reproductive toxicity Noel was determined to be 37.5 mg/kg/day, and the reproductive toxicity LEL was determined to be >37.5 mg/kg/day.</p> <p>Mutagenicity: In a mutagenicity study, IPBC technical was tested for the ability to cause mutations in <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> strains TA 1535, TA 1537, TA 1538, TA 98, and TA 100. In the five strains used, IPBC was found to be non-mutagenic in the presence or absence of metabolic activation at the concentrations tested, 1-1000 jig/plate . In a micronucleus assay in mice, IPBC at doses of 200, 600, and 2000 mg/kg did not induce any significant increase of the PCE containing micronuclei from the treated mice when compared to that of the vehicle control mice. In two independent unscheduled DNA synthesis (UDS) assays in primary rat hepatocytes, eight doses of IPBC ranging from 3.0 to 13.5 ug/ml did not cause an appreciable increase in mean net nuclear grain counts. Doses >13.5 ug/ml were cytotoxic, supporting the conclusion that IPBC induced cytotoxicity but no genotoxicity in this assay.</p> <p>Metabolism: Based on the metabolite identification data, a scheme for metabolism of IPBC was proposed. According to this scheme, IPBC undergoes reductive dehalogenation followed by dealkylation to form the URM-9 and URM-10 metabolites. In addition, de-carboxylation following reductive dehalogenation yields carbon dioxide. Various other metabolites formed from dehalogenation are glucuronidated and constitute minor metabolites of IPBC..</p>
<p>ALCOHOLS C6-C12 ETHOXYLATED</p>	<p>The material may produce severe skin irritation after prolonged or repeated exposure, and may produce a contact dermatitis (nonallergic). This form of dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) thickening of the epidermis.</p> <p>Histologically there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis. Prolonged contact is unlikely, given the severity of response, but repeated exposures may produce severe ulceration.</p> <p>All rats survived a 4 hour exposure at up to 8.02 mg/l * * Van Waters and Rogers (1) for males and females combined (2) for similar product, 72 hours after exposure</p>
<p>ZIRCONIUM 2-ETHYLHEXANOATE</p>	<p>For aliphatic fatty acids (and salts)</p> <p>Acute oral (gavage) toxicity:</p> <p>The acute oral LD50 values in rats for both were greater than >2000 mg/kg bw Clinical signs were generally associated with poor condition following administration of high doses (salivation, diarrhoea, staining, piloerection and lethargy). There were no adverse effects on body weight in any study In some studies, excess test substance and/or irritation in the gastrointestinal tract was observed at necropsy.</p> <p>Skin and eye irritation potential, with a few stated exceptions, is chain length dependent and decreases with increasing chain length</p> <p>According to several OECD test regimes the animal skin irritation studies indicate that the C6-10 aliphatic acids are severely irritating or corrosive, while the C12 aliphatic acid is irritating, and the C14-22 aliphatic acids generally are not irritating or mildly irritating.</p> <p>Human skin irritation studies using more realistic exposures (30-minute, 1-hour or 24-hours) indicate that the aliphatic acids have sufficient, good or very good skin compatibility.</p> <p>Animal eye irritation studies indicate that among the aliphatic acids, the C8-12 aliphatic acids are irritating to the eye while the C14-22 aliphatic acids are not irritating.</p> <p>Eye irritation potential of the ammonium salts does not follow chain length dependence; the C18 ammonium salts are corrosive to the eyes.</p> <p>Dermal absorption:</p> <p>The in vitro penetration of C10, C12, C14, C16 and C18 fatty acids (as sodium salt solutions) through rat skin decreases with increasing chain length. At 86.73 ug C16/cm² and 91.84 ug C18/cm², about 0.23% and less than 0.1% of the C16 and C18 soap solutions is absorbed after 24 h exposure, respectively.</p> <p>Sensitisation:</p> <p>No sensitisation data were located.</p> <p>Repeat dose toxicity:</p> <p>Repeated dose oral (gavage or diet) exposure to aliphatic acids did not result in systemic toxicity with NOAELs greater than the limit dose of 1000 mg/kg bw. .</p> <p>Mutagenicity</p> <p>Aliphatic acids do not appear to be mutagenic or clastogenic in vitro or in vivo</p> <p>Carcinogenicity</p> <p>No data were located for carcinogenicity of aliphatic fatty acids.</p> <p>Reproductive toxicity</p> <p>No effects on fertility or on reproductive organs, or developmental effects were observed in studies on aliphatic acids and the NOAELs correspond to the maximum dose tested. The weight of evidence supports the lack of reproductive and developmental toxicity potential of the aliphatic acids category.</p> <p>Given the large number of substances in this category, their closely related chemical structure, expected trends in physical chemical properties, and similarity of toxicokinetic properties, both mammalian and aquatic endpoints were filled using read-across to the closest structural analogue, and selecting the most conservative supporting substance effect level.</p> <p>Structure-activity relationships are not evident for the mammalian toxicity endpoints. That is, the low mammalian toxicity of this category of substances limits the ability to discern structural effects on biological activity. Regardless, the closest structural analogue with the most conservative effect value was selected for read across. Irritation is observed</p>

for chain lengths up to a "cut-off" at or near 12 carbons).

Metabolism:

The aliphatic acids share a common degradation pathway in which they are metabolized to acetyl-CoA or other key metabolites in all living systems. Common biological pathways result in structurally similar breakdown products, and are, together with the physico-chemical properties, responsible for similar environmental behavior and essentially identical hazard profiles with regard to human health.

Differences in metabolism or biodegradability of even and odd numbered carbon chain compounds or saturated/unsaturated compounds are not expected; even- and odd-numbered carbon chain compounds, and the saturated and unsaturated compounds are naturally occurring and are expected to be metabolized and biodegraded in the same manner.

The acid and alkali salt forms of the homologous aliphatic acid are expected to have many similar physicochemical and toxicological properties when they become bioavailable; therefore, data read across is used for those instances where data are available for the acid form but not the salt, and vice versa. In the gastrointestinal tract, acids and bases are absorbed in the undissociated (non-ionised) form by simple diffusion or by facilitated diffusion. It is expected that both the acids and the salts will be present in (or converted to) the acid form in the stomach. This means that for both aliphatic acid or aliphatic acid salt, the same compounds eventually enter the small intestine, where equilibrium, as a result of increased pH, will shift towards dissociation (ionised form).

Hence, the situation will be similar for compounds originating from acids and therefore no differences in uptake are anticipated.

Note that the saturation or unsaturation level is not a factor in the toxicity of these substances and is not a critical component of the read across process.

Toxicokinetics:

The turnover of the [¹⁴C] surfactants in the rat showed that there was no significant difference in the rate or route of excretion of ¹⁴C given by intraperitoneal or subcutaneous administration. The main route of excretion was as ¹⁴CO₂ in the expired air at 6 h after administration. The remaining material was incorporated in the body. Longer fatty acid chains are more readily incorporated than shorter chains. At ca. 1.55 and 1.64 mg/kg bw, 71% of the C16:0 and 56% of the C18:0 was incorporated and 21% and 38% was excreted as ¹⁴CO₂, respectively.

Fatty acid salts are of low acute toxicity. Their skin and eye irritation potential is chain length dependent and decreases with increasing chain length - they are poorly absorbed through the skin nor are they skin sensitizers. The available repeated dose toxicity data demonstrate the low toxicity of the fatty acids and their salts. Also, they are not considered to be mutagenic, genotoxic or carcinogenic, and are not reproductive or developmental toxicants. Accidental ingestion of fatty acid salt containing detergent products is not expected to result in any significant adverse health effects. This assessment is based on toxicological data demonstrating the low acute oral toxicity of fatty acid salts and the fact that not a single fatality has been reported in the UK following accidental ingestion of detergents containing fatty acid salts. Also in a report published by the German Federal Institute for Health Protection of Consumers and Veterinary Medicine, detergent products were not mentioned as dangerous products with a high incidence of poisoning. The estimated total human exposure to fatty acid salts, from the different exposure scenarios for the handling and use of detergent products containing fatty acid salts, showed a margin of exposure (MOE) of 258,620. This extremely large MOE is large enough to be reassuring with regard to the relatively small variability of the hazard data on which it is based. Also, in the UK, the recommended dietary fatty acid intake by the Department of Health is about 100 g of fatty acids per day or 1.7 g (1700 mg) of fatty acids per kilogram body weight per day. This exposure is several orders of magnitude above that resulting from exposure to fatty acid salts in household cleaning products. Based on the available data, the use of fatty acid salts in household detergent and cleaning products does not raise any safety concerns with regard to consumer

For quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs):

Quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs) are cationic surfactants. They are synthetic organically tetra-substituted ammonium compounds, where the R substituents are alkyl or heterocyclic radicals (where hydrogen atoms remain unsubstituted, the term "secondary- or "tertiary- ammonium compounds" is preferred).

A common characteristic of these synthetic compounds is that one of the R's is a long-chain hydrophobic aliphatic residue.

The cationic surface active compounds are in general more toxic than the anionic and non-ionic surfactants. The positively-charged cationic portion is the functional part of the molecule and the local irritation effects of QACs appear to result from the quaternary ammonium cation.

Due to their relative ability to solubilise phospholipids and cholesterol in lipid membranes, QACs affect cell permeability which may lead to cell death. Further QACs denature proteins as cationic materials precipitate protein and are accompanied by generalised tissue irritation.

It has been suggested that the experimentally determined decrease in acute toxicity of QACs with chain lengths above C16 is due to decreased water solubility.

In general it appears that QACs with a single long-chain alkyl groups are more toxic and irritating than those with two such substitutions,

The straight chain aliphatic QACs have been shown to release histamine from minced guinea pig lung tissue. However, studies with benzalkonium chloride have shown that the effect on histamine release depends on the concentration of the solution. When cell suspensions (11% mast cells) from rats were exposed to low concentrations, a decrease in histamine release was seen. When exposed to high concentrations the opposite result was obtained.

In addition, QACs may show curare-like properties (specifically benzalkonium and cetylpyridinium derivatives, a muscular paralysis with no involvement of the central nervous system. This is most often associated with lethal doses. Parenteral injections in rats, rabbits and dogs have resulted in prompt but transient limb paralysis and sometimes fatal paresis of the respiratory muscles. This effect seems to be transient.

From human testing of different QACs the generalised conclusion is obtained that all the compounds investigated to date exhibit similar toxicological properties.

2,2'-BIPYRIDYL

	<p>Acute toxicity: Studies in rats have indicated poor intestinal absorption of QACs. Acute toxicity of QACs varies with the compound and, especially, the route of administration. For some substances the LD50 value is several hundreds times lower by the i.p. or i.v. than the oral route, whereas toxicities between the congeners only differ in the range of two to five times.</p> <p>At least some QACs are significantly more toxic in 50% dimethyl sulfoxide than in plain water when given orally. Probably all common QAC derivatives produce similar toxic reactions, but as tested in laboratory animals the oral mean lethal dose varies with the compound.</p> <p>Oral toxicity: LD50 values for QACs have been reported within the range of 250-1000 mg/kg for rats, 150-1000 mg/kg for mice, 150-300 mg/kg for guinea pigs and about 500 mg/kg b.w. for rabbits and dogs. The ranges observed reflect differences in the study designs of these rather old experiments as well as differences between the various QACs. The oral route of administration was characterised by delayed deaths, gastrointestinal lesions and respiratory and central nervous system depression. It was also found that given into a full stomach, the QACs lead to lower mortality and fewer gastrointestinal symptoms. This supports the suggestion of an irritating effect.</p> <p>Dermal toxicity: It has been concluded that the maximum concentration that did not produce irritating effect on intact skin is 0.1%. Irritation became manifest in the 1-10% range. Concentrations below 0.1% have caused irritation in persons with contact dermatitis or broken skin.</p> <p>Although the absorption of QACs through normal skin probably is of less importance than by other routes, studies with excised guinea pig skin have shown that the permeability constants strongly depend on the exposure time and type of skin.</p> <p>Sensitisation: Topical mucosal application of QACs may produce sensitisation. Reports on case stories and patch tests have shown that compounds such as benzalkonium chloride, cetalkonium chloride and cetrimide may possibly act as sensitisers. However, in general it is suggested that QACs have a low potential for sensitising man. It is difficult to distinguish between an allergic and an irritative skin reaction due to the inherent skin irritating effect of QACs.</p> <p>Long term/repeated exposure:</p> <p>Inhalation: A group of 196 farmers (with or without respiratory symptoms) were evaluated for the relationship between exposure to QACs (unspecified, exposure levels not given) and respiratory disorders by testing for lung function and bronchial responsiveness to histamine. After histamine provocation statistically significant associations were found between the prevalence of mild bronchial responsiveness (including asthma-like symptoms) and the use of QACs as disinfectant. The association seems even stronger in people without respiratory symptoms.</p> <p>Genetic toxicity: QACs have been investigated for mutagenicity in microbial test systems. In Ames tests using <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> with and without metabolic activation no signs of mutagenicity have been observed. Negative results were also obtained in <i>E. coli</i> reversion and <i>B. subtilis</i> rec assays. However, for benzalkonium chloride also positive and equivocal results were seen in the <i>B. subtilis</i> rec assays.</p> <p>Exposure to the material for prolonged periods may cause physical defects in the developing embryo (teratogenesis).</p>
<p>TIMBRE PLUS DECKING & BIS(1,2,2,6,6-PENTAMETHYL-4-PIPERIDYL)SEBACATE & TINUVIN 1130 & TINUVIN 213 & METHYL 1,2,2,6,6-PENTAMETHYL-4-PIPERIDYL SEBACATE & 2,2'-BIPYRIDYL</p>	<p>The following information refers to contact allergens as a group and may not be specific to this product.</p> <p>Contact allergies quickly manifest themselves as contact eczema, more rarely as urticaria or Quincke's oedema. The pathogenesis of contact eczema involves a cell-mediated (T lymphocytes) immune reaction of the delayed type. Other allergic skin reactions, e.g. contact urticaria, involve antibody-mediated immune reactions. The significance of the contact allergen is not simply determined by its sensitisation potential: the distribution of the substance and the opportunities for contact with it are equally important. A weakly sensitising substance which is widely distributed can be a more important allergen than one with stronger sensitising potential with which few individuals come into contact. From a clinical point of view, substances are noteworthy if they produce an allergic test reaction in more than 1% of the persons tested.</p>
<p>TIMBRE PLUS DECKING & ISOTRIDECYL ALCOHOL, ETHOXYLATED & TINUVIN 213 & METHYL 1,2,2,6,6-PENTAMETHYL-4-PIPERIDYL SEBACATE & ZIRCONIUM 2-ETHYLHEXANOATE</p>	<p>No significant acute toxicological data identified in literature search.</p>
<p>DIETHYLENE GLYCOL MONOBUTYL ETHER & TRIETHYLAMINE</p>	<p>The material may produce severe irritation to the eye causing pronounced inflammation. Repeated or prolonged exposure to irritants may produce conjunctivitis.</p>
<p>ISOTRIDECYL ALCOHOL, ETHOXYLATED & ALCOHOLS C6-C12 ETHOXYLATED</p>	<p>Human beings have regular contact with alcohol ethoxylates through a variety of industrial and consumer products such as soaps, detergents, and other cleaning products. Exposure to these chemicals can occur through ingestion, inhalation, or contact with the skin or eyes. Studies of acute toxicity show that volumes well above a reasonable intake level would have to occur to produce any toxic response. Moreover, no fatal case of poisoning with alcohol ethoxylates has ever been reported. Multiple studies investigating the acute toxicity of alcohol ethoxylates have shown that the use of these compounds is of low concern in terms of oral and dermal toxicity.</p> <p>Clinical animal studies indicate these chemicals may produce gastrointestinal irritation such as ulcerations of the stomach, pilo-erection, diarrhea, and lethargy. Similarly, slight to severe irritation of the skin or eye was generated when undiluted alcohol ethoxylates were applied to the skin and eyes of rabbits and rats. The chemical shows no indication of being a genotoxin, carcinogen, or mutagen (HERA 2007). No information was available on levels at which these effects might occur, though toxicity is thought to be substantially lower than that of nonylphenol ethoxylates.</p> <p>Polyethers, for example, ethoxylated surfactants and polyethylene glycols, are highly susceptible towards air oxidation as the ether oxygens will stabilize intermediary radicals involved. Investigations of a chemically well-defined alcohol (pentaethylene glycol mono-n-dodecyl ether) ethoxylate, showed that polyethers form complex mixtures of oxidation products when exposed to air.</p> <p>Sensitization studies in guinea pigs revealed that the pure nonoxidized surfactant itself is nonsensitizing but that many of</p>

the investigated oxidation products are sensitizers. Two hydroperoxides were identified in the oxidation mixture, but only one (16-hydroperoxy-3,6,9,12,15-pentaooxaheptacosan-1-ol) was stable enough to be isolated. It was found to be a strong sensitizer in LLNA (local lymph node assay for detection of sensitization capacity). The formation of other hydroperoxides was indicated by the detection of their corresponding aldehydes in the oxidation mixture .

On the basis of the lower irritancy, nonionic surfactants are often preferred to ionic surfactants in topical products.

However, their susceptibility towards autooxidation also increases the irritation. Because of their irritating effect, it is difficult to diagnose ACD to these compounds by patch testing.

Alcohol ethoxylates are according to CESIO (2000) classified as Irritant or Harmful depending on the number of EO-units:

EO < 5 gives Irritant (Xi) with R38 (Irritating to skin) and R41 (Risk of serious damage to eyes)

EO > 5-15 gives Harmful (Xn) with R22 (Harmful if swallowed) - R38/41

EO > 15-20 gives Harmful (Xn) with R22-41

>20 EO is not classified (CESIO 2000)

Oxo-AE, C13 EO10 and C13 EO15, are Irritating (Xi) with R36/38 (Irritating to eyes and skin) .

AE are not included in Annex 1 of the list of dangerous substances of the Council Directive 67/548/EEC

In general, alcohol ethoxylates (AE) are readily absorbed through the skin of guinea pigs and rats and through the gastrointestinal mucosa of rats. AE are quickly eliminated from the body through the urine, faeces, and expired air (CO₂). Orally dosed AE was absorbed rapidly and extensively in rats, and more than 75% of the dose was absorbed. When applied to the skin of humans, the doses were absorbed slowly and incompletely (50% absorbed in 72 hours). Half of the absorbed surfactant was excreted promptly in the urine and smaller amounts of AE appeared in the faeces and expired air (CO₂). The metabolism of C12 AE yields PEG, carboxylic acids, and CO₂ as metabolites. The LD₅₀ values after oral administration to rats range from about 1-15 g/kg body weight indicating a low to moderate acute toxicity.

The ability of nonionic surfactants to cause a swelling of the stratum corneum of guinea pig skin has been studied. The swelling mechanism of the skin involves a combination of ionic binding of the hydrophilic group as well as hydrophobic interactions of the alkyl chain with the substrate. One of the mechanisms of skin irritation caused by surfactants is considered to be denaturation of the proteins of skin. It has also been established that there is a connection between the potential of surfactants to denature protein *in vitro* and their effect on the skin. Nonionic surfactants do not carry any net charge and, therefore, they can only form hydrophobic bonds with proteins. For this reason, proteins are not deactivated by nonionic surfactants, and proteins with poor solubility are not solubilized by nonionic surfactants. A substantial amount of toxicological data and information *in vivo* and *in vitro* demonstrates that there is no evidence for alcohol ethoxylates (AEs) being genotoxic, mutagenic or carcinogenic. No adverse reproductive or developmental effects were observed. The majority of available toxicity studies revealed NOAELs in excess of 100 mg/kg bw/d but the lowest NOAEL for an individual AE was established to be 50 mg/kg bw/day. This value was subsequently considered as a conservative, representative value in the risk assessment of AE. The effects were restricted to changes in organ weights with no histopathological organ changes with the exception of liver hypertrophy (indicative of an adaptive response to metabolism rather than a toxic effect). It is noteworthy that there was practically no difference in the NOAEL in oral studies of 90-day or 2 years of duration in rats. A comparison of the aggregate consumer exposure and the systemic NOAEL (taking into account an oral absorption value of 75%) results in a Margin of Exposure of 5,800. Taking into account the conservatism in the exposure assessment and the assigned systemic NOAEL, this margin of exposure is considered more than adequate to account for the inherent uncertainty and variability of the hazard database and inter and intra-species extrapolations.

AEs are not contact sensitizers. Neat AE are irritating to eyes and skin. The irritation potential of aqueous solutions of AEs depends on concentrations. Local dermal effects due to direct or indirect skin contact in certain use scenarios where the products are diluted are not of concern as AEs are not expected to be irritating to the skin at in-use concentrations. Potential irritation of the respiratory tract is not a concern given the very low levels of airborne AE generated as a consequence of spray cleaner aerosols or laundry powder detergent dust.

In summary, the human health risk assessment has demonstrated that the use of AE in household laundry and cleaning detergents is safe and does not cause concern with regard to consumer use.

For high boiling ethylene glycol ethers (typically triethylene- and tetraethylene glycol ethers):

Skin absorption: Available skin absorption data for triethylene glycol ether (TGBE), triethylene glycol methyl ether (TGME), and triethylene glycol ethylene ether (TGEE) suggest that the rate of absorption in skin of these three glycol ethers is 22 to 34 micrograms/cm²/hr, with the methyl ether having the highest permeation constant and the butyl ether having the lowest. The rates of absorption of TGBE, TGEE and TGME are at least 100-fold less than EGME, EGEE, and EGBE, their ethylene glycol monoalkyl ether counterparts, which have absorption rates that range from 214 to 2890 micrograms/cm²/hr . Therefore, an increase in either the chain length of the alkyl substituent or the number of ethylene glycol moieties appears to lead to a decreased rate of percutaneous absorption. However, since the ratio of the change in values of the ethylene glycol to the diethylene glycol series is larger than that

of the diethylene glycol to triethylene glycol series , the effect of the length of the chain and number of ethylene glycol moieties on absorption diminishes with an increased number of ethylene glycol moieties. Therefore, although tetraethylene glycol methyl ether (TetraME) and tetraethylene glycol butyl ether (TetraBE) are expected to be less permeable to skin than TGME and TGBE, the differences in permeation between these molecules may only be slight.

Metabolism: The main metabolic pathway for metabolism of ethylene glycol monoalkyl ethers (EGME, EGEE, and EGBE) is oxidation via alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases (ALD/ADH) that leads to the formation of an alkoxy acids. Alkoxy acids are the only toxicologically significant metabolites of glycol ethers that have been detected *in vivo*. The principal metabolite of TGME is believed to be 2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy] acetic acid . Although ethylene glycol, a known kidney toxicant, has been identified as an impurity or a minor metabolite of glycol ethers in animal studies it does not appear to contribute to the toxicity of glycol ethers.

The metabolites of category members are not likely to be metabolized to any large extent to toxic molecules such as ethylene glycol or the mono alkoxy acids because metabolic breakdown of the ether linkages also has to occur

Acute toxicity: Category members generally display low acute toxicity by the oral, inhalation and dermal routes of exposure. Signs of toxicity in animals receiving lethal oral doses of TGBE included loss of righting reflex and flaccid muscle tone, coma, and heavy breathing. Animals administered lethal oral doses of TGEE exhibited lethargy, ataxia, blood in the urogenital area and piloerection before death.

Irritation: The data indicate that the glycol ethers may cause mild to moderate skin irritation. TGEE and TGBE are highly irritating to the eyes. Other category members show low eye irritation.

Repeat dose toxicity: Results of these studies suggest that repeated exposure to moderate to high doses of the glycol ethers in this category is required to produce systemic toxicity

In a 21-day dermal study, TGME, TGEE, and TGBE were administered to rabbits at 1,000 mg/kg/day. Erythema and oedema were observed. In addition, testicular degeneration (scored as trace in severity) was observed in one rabbit given TGEE and one rabbit given TGME. Testicular effects included spermatid giant cells, focal tubular hypospermatogenesis, and increased cytoplasmic vacuolisation. Due to a high incidence of similar spontaneous changes in normal New Zealand White rabbits, the testicular effects were considered not to be related to treatment. Thus, the NOAELs for TGME, TGEE and TGBE were established at 1000 mg/kg/day. Findings from this report were considered unremarkable.

A 2-week dermal study was conducted in rats administered TGME at doses of 1,000, 2,500, and 4,000 mg/kg/day. In this study, significantly-increased red blood cells at 4,000 mg/kg/day and significantly-increased urea concentrations in the urine at 2,500 mg/kg/day were observed. A few of the rats given 2,500 or 4,000 mg/kg/day had watery caecal contents and/or

haemolysed blood in the stomach. These gross pathologic observations were not associated with any histologic abnormalities in these tissues or alterations in haematologic and clinical chemistry parameters. A few males and females treated with either 1,000 or 2,500 mg/kg/day had a few small scabs or crusts at the test site. These alterations were slight in degree and did not adversely affect the rats.

In a 13-week drinking water study, TGME was administered to rats at doses of 400, 1,200, and 4,000 mg/kg/day. Statistically-significant changes in relative liver weight were observed at 1,200 mg/kg/day and higher. Histopathological effects included hepatocellular cytoplasmic vacuolisation (minimal to mild in most animals) and hypertrophy (minimal to mild) in males at all doses and hepatocellular hypertrophy (minimal to mild) in high dose females. These effects were statistically significant at 4,000 mg/kg/day. Cholangiofibrosis was observed in 7/15 high-dose males; this effect was observed in a small number of bile ducts and was of mild severity. Significant, small decreases in total test session motor activity were observed in the high-dose animals, but no other neurological effects were observed. The changes in motor activity were secondary to systemic toxicity.

Mutagenicity: Mutagenicity studies have been conducted for several category members. All in vitro and in vivo studies were negative at concentrations up to 5,000 micrograms/plate and 5,000 mg/kg, respectively, indicating that the category members are not genotoxic at the concentrations used in these studies. The uniformly negative outcomes of various mutagenicity studies performed on category members lessen the concern for carcinogenicity.

Reproductive toxicity: Although mating studies with either the category members or surrogates have not been performed, several of the repeated dose toxicity tests with the surrogates have included examination of reproductive organs. A lower molecular weight glycol ether, ethylene glycol methyl ether (EGME), has been shown to be a testicular toxicant. In addition, results of repeated dose toxicity tests with TGME clearly show testicular toxicity at an oral dose of 4,000 mg/kg/day four times greater than the limit dose of 1,000 mg/kg/day recommended for repeat dose studies. It should be noted that TGME is 350 times less potent for testicular effects than EGME. TGBE is not associated with testicular toxicity, TetraME is not likely to be metabolised by any large extent to 2-MAA (the toxic metabolite of EGME), and a mixture containing predominantly methylated glycol ethers in the C5-C11 range does not produce testicular toxicity (even when administered intravenously at 1,000 mg/kg/day).

Developmental toxicity: The bulk of the evidence shows that effects on the foetus are not noted in treatments with 1,000 mg/kg/day during gestation. At 1,250 to 1,650 mg/kg/day TGME (in the rat) and 1,500 mg/kg/day (in the rabbit), the developmental effects observed included skeletal variants and decreased body weight gain.

TRIETHYLAMINE & 2,2'-BIPYRIDYL

Asthma-like symptoms may continue for months or even years after exposure to the material ceases. This may be due to a non-allergenic condition known as reactive airways dysfunction syndrome (RADS) which can occur following exposure to high levels of highly irritating compound. Key criteria for the diagnosis of RADS include the absence of preceding respiratory disease, in a non-atopic individual, with abrupt onset of persistent asthma-like symptoms within minutes to hours of a documented exposure to the irritant. A reversible airflow pattern, on spirometry, with the presence of moderate to severe bronchial hyperreactivity on methacholine challenge testing and the lack of minimal lymphocytic inflammation, without eosinophilia, have also been included in the criteria for diagnosis of RADS. RADS (or asthma) following an irritating inhalation is an infrequent disorder with rates related to the concentration of and duration of exposure to the irritating substance. Industrial bronchitis, on the other hand, is a disorder that occurs as result of exposure due to high concentrations of irritating substance (often particulate in nature) and is completely reversible after exposure ceases. The disorder is characterised by dyspnea, cough and mucus production.

Acute Toxicity	✓	Carcinogenicity	✗
Skin Irritation/Corrosion	✓	Reproductivity	✗
Serious Eye Damage/Irritation	✗	STOT - Single Exposure	✗
Respiratory or Skin sensitisation	✓	STOT - Repeated Exposure	✗
Mutagenicity	✗	Aspiration Hazard	✗

Legend: ✗ – Data either not available or does not fill the criteria for classification

Continued...

✔ – Data available to make classification

SECTION 12 ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Toxicity

TIMBRE PLUS DECKING	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	1-300mg/L	2
	EC50	48	Crustacea	4-950mg/L	2
	EC50	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	1-101mg/L	2
isotridecyl alcohol, ethoxylated	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
bis(1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl)sebacate	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	=0.34mg/L	1
Tinuvin 1130	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	2.8mg/L	2
	EC50	48	Crustacea	4mg/L	2
	EC50	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	>9mg/L	2
	EC0	48	Crustacea	1mg/L	2
triethylamine	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	24mg/L	2
	EC50	48	Crustacea	17mg/L	2
	EC50	96	Algae or other aquatic plants	1.167mg/L	2
	NOEC	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	1.1mg/L	2
Tinuvin 213	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	2.8mg/L	2
	EC50	48	Crustacea	4mg/L	2
	EC50	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	ca.9mg/L	2
methyl 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl sebacate	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	0.067mg/L	2
	EC50	48	Crustacea	0.04mg/L	5
	EC50	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	0.022mg/L	2
	EC10	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	0.0058mg/L	2
alcohols C6-C12 ethoxylated	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available

Continued...

TIMBRE PLUS DECKING

zirconium 2-ethylhexanoate	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	>100mg/L	2
	EC50	48	Crustacea	>0.17mg/L	2
	EC50	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	>0.042mg/L	2
	NOEC	72	Algae or other aquatic plants	0.004mg/L	2

2,2'-bipyridyl	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	75.205mg/L	3
	EC50	96	Algae or other aquatic plants	274.378mg/L	3

Legend: *Extracted from 1. IUCLID Toxicity Data 2. Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Ecotoxicological Information - Aquatic Toxicity 3. EPIWIN Suite V3.12 (QSAR) - Aquatic Toxicity Data (Estimated) 4. US EPA, Ecotox database - Aquatic Toxicity Data 5. ECETOC Aquatic Hazard Assessment Data 6. NITE (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 7. METI (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 8. Vendor Data*

for propylene glycol ethers:

Environmental fate:

Most are liquids at room temperature and all are water-soluble.

Typical propylene glycol ethers include propylene glycol n-butyl ether (PnB); dipropylene glycol n-butyl ether (DPnB); dipropylene glycol methyl ether acetate (DPMA); tripropylene glycol methyl ether (TPM)

Environmental fate: Log octanol-water partition coefficients (log Kow's) range from 0.309 for TPM to 1.523 for DPnB. Calculated BCFs range from 1.47 for DPnB to 3.16 for DPMA and TPM, indicating low bioaccumulation. Henry's Law Constants, which indicate propensity to partition from water to air, are low for all category members, ranging from 5.7×10^{-9} atm-m³/mole for TPM to 2.7×10^{-9} atm-m³/mole for PnB. Fugacity modeling indicates that most propylene glycol ethers are likely to partition roughly equally into the soil and water compartments in the environment with small to negligible amounts remaining in other environmental compartments (air, sediment, and aquatic biota). Propylene glycol ethers are unlikely to persist in the environment. Once in air, the half-life of the category members due to direct reactions with photochemically generated hydroxyl radicals, range from 2.0 hours for TPM to 4.6 hours for PnB. In water, most members of this family are "readily biodegradable" under aerobic conditions. (DPMA degraded within 28 days (and within the specified 10-day window) but only using pre-adapted or "acclimated" inoculum.). In soil, biodegradation is rapid for PM and PMA.

Ecotoxicity:

Acute aquatic toxicity testing indicates low toxicity for both ethers and acetates. For ethers, effect concentrations are > 500 mg/L. For acetates, effect concentrations are > 151 mg/L.

For 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene:

Half-life (hr) air : 0.48-16

Half-life (hr) H₂O surface water : 0.24-672

Half-life (hr) H₂O ground : 336-1344

Half-life (hr) soil : 168-672

Henry's Pa m³ /mol: 385-627

Bioaccumulation : not significant

1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene is a volatile organic compound (VOC) substance. As a VOC, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene can contribute to the formation of photochemical smog in the presence of other VOCs.

Environmental fate:

Transport: 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene volatilises rapidly from surface waters as predicted by a Henry's law constant of 5.18×10^{-3} (vapor pressure, 2.03 mm Hg). The volatilisation half-life from a model river is calculated to be 3.4 hours. The chemical also volatilises from soils, however, based on an estimated Koc of 472, moderate adsorption to soils and sediments may occur

Transformation/Persistence

Air - Degradation of 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene in the atmosphere occurs by reaction with hydroxyl radicals. Reaction also occurs with ozone but very slowly (half life, 8820 days). In the atmosphere, two estimates of the half-life are approximately 6 hours and, in the presence of hydroxyl radicals, 0.5 days.

Soil - Volatilisation is the major route of removal of 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene from soils; although, biodegradation may also occur. Due to the high volatility of the chemical it is unlikely to accumulate in soil or surface water to toxic concentrations.

Water - Because of 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene's water solubility and its vapor pressure of 2.03 mm Hg, the chemical will rapidly volatilise from surface waters. Biodegradation of 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene occurred with inoculums from both seawater and ground water. Various strains of Pseudomonas can biodegrade 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene.

Biota - The estimated bioconcentration factor (439) and high volatility of 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene indicates that bioaccumulation of the chemical will not be significant.

Ecotoxicity:

Fish LC50 (96 h): fathead minnow 7.72 mg/l

No stress was observed in Oncorhynchus mykiss (rainbow trout, fingerling) or Petromyzon marinus (sea lamprey, larvae) at 5 mg/L for 24 hours.

Daphnia magna EC50 (48 h): 3.61 mg/l

Cancer magister (dungeness crab) LC50 (96 h): 5.1 mg/l

1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene has moderate acute toxicity to aquatic organisms; acute toxicity values fall within the range of greater than 1 mg/L and 100 mg/L. LC50 values for specific aquatic organisms range from approximately 5 to 8 mg/L which is orders of magnitude greater than any measured concentration in seawater (0.002 - 0.54 microgram/L). The high concentrations required to induce toxicity in laboratory animals are not likely to be reached in the environment.

Within an aromatic series, acute toxicity increases with increasing alkyl substitution on the aromatic nucleus. For example, there is an increase in toxicity as alkylation of the naphthalene structure increases. The order of most toxic to least in a study using grass shrimp (Palaemonetes pugio) and brown shrimp (Penaeus aztecus) was dimethylnaphthalenes > methylnaphthalenes > naphthalenes.

Studies conclude that the toxicity of an oil appears to be a function of its di-aromatic and tri-aromatic hydrocarbons, which includes three-ring hydrocarbons such as phenanthrene.

The heavier (4-, 5-, and 6-ring) PAHs are more persistent than the lighter (2- and 3-ring) PAHs and tend to have greater carcinogenic and other chronic

impact potential. PAHs in general are more frequently associated with chronic risks. These risks include cancer and often are the result of exposures to complex mixtures of chronic-risk aromatics (such as PAHs, alkyl PAHs, benzenes, and alkyl benzenes), rather than exposures to low levels of a single compound.

Anthracene is a phototoxic PAH. UV light greatly increases the toxicity of anthracene to bluegill sunfish. Benchmarks developed in the absence of UV light may be under-protective, and biological resources in strong sunlight are at more risk than those that are not.

for UV filters:

UV filters have been detected in surface water, wastewater and fish, and some of them are estrogenic in fish. At present, little is known about their additional hormonal activities in different hormonal receptor systems despite their increasing use and environmental persistence. Besides estrogenic activity, UV filters may have additional activities, both agonistic and antagonistic in aquatic organisms.

Systematic analysis of the oestrogenic, antioestrogenic, androgenic, and antiandrogenic activity was conducted using 18 UV filters and one metabolite *in vitro* at non-cytotoxic concentrations with recombinant yeast systems carrying either a human estrogen (hER) or androgen receptor (hAR). All 19 compounds elicited hormonal activities, surprisingly most of them multiple activities. Ten UV-filters having agonistic effects towards the hER.

Surprisingly, six UV filters with androgenic activities and many of them having pronounced antioestrogenic and antiandrogenic activities. Seventeen compounds inhibited 4,5-dihydrotestosterone activity in the hAR assay, while 14 compounds inhibited oestradiol activity in the hER assay, indicating antiandrogenic and antioestrogenic activity, respectively. In particular, the antiandrogenic activities of phenyl- and benzyl salicylate, benzophenone-1 and -2, and of 4-hydroxybenzophenone were higher than that of flutamide, a known hAR antagonist.

Although most of the UV filters exert hormonal effects at concentrations that are orders of magnitude higher than in the environment, wide distribution and exposure to UV filter mixtures may have environmental consequences due to additive effects. The UV filters 4-methylbenzylidene camphor, benzophenone-3, benzophenone-4, octyl methoxycinnamate, octocrylene and homosalate that repeatedly were detected in the aquatic environment, may contribute with their multiple hormonal activities in a complex manner to the mixture of endocrine disrupting chemicals already present in surface water and fish. For most of the UV filters with multiple hormonal activities residues in the aquatic environment and in biota are not yet known, and therefore their environmental relevance remains elusive. The fact that all 18 UV filters and one metabolite showed receptor ligand binding via transactivation – surprisingly most of them multiple bindings – reveals a complex picture of the hormonal activities of UV filters.

Petra Kunz and Karl Fent: Aquatic Toxicology Vol 79 pp 305-324 October 2006

DO NOT discharge into sewer or waterways.

Persistence and degradability

Ingredient	Persistence: Water/Soil	Persistence: Air
diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	LOW	LOW
triethylamine	HIGH	HIGH
3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate	HIGH	HIGH
2,2'-bipyridyl	HIGH	HIGH

Bioaccumulative potential

Ingredient	Bioaccumulation
diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	LOW (BCF = 0.46)
triethylamine	LOW (BCF = 7.45)
3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate	LOW (LogKOW = 2.4542)
2,2'-bipyridyl	LOW (BCF = 0.7)

Mobility in soil

Ingredient	Mobility
diethylene glycol monobutyl ether	LOW (KOC = 10)
triethylamine	LOW (KOC = 107.2)
3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate	LOW (KOC = 365.3)
2,2'-bipyridyl	LOW (KOC = 248.7)

SECTION 13 DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS

Waste treatment methods

Product / Packaging disposal

- ▶ Containers may still present a chemical hazard/ danger when empty.
- ▶ Return to supplier for reuse/ recycling if possible.

Otherwise:

- ▶ If container can not be cleaned sufficiently well to ensure that residuals do not remain or if the container cannot be used to store the same product, then puncture containers, to prevent re-use, and bury at an authorised landfill.

Continued...

TIMBRE PLUS DECKING

- ▶ Where possible retain label warnings and SDS and observe all notices pertaining to the product.
- Legislation addressing waste disposal requirements may differ by country, state and/ or territory. Each user must refer to laws operating in their area. In some areas, certain wastes must be tracked.
- A Hierarchy of Controls seems to be common - the user should investigate:
- ▶ Reduction
 - ▶ Reuse
 - ▶ Recycling
 - ▶ Disposal (if all else fails)
- This material may be recycled if unused, or if it has not been contaminated so as to make it unsuitable for its intended use. If it has been contaminated, it may be possible to reclaim the product by filtration, distillation or some other means. Shelf life considerations should also be applied in making decisions of this type. Note that properties of a material may change in use, and recycling or reuse may not always be appropriate.
- ▶ **DO NOT allow wash water from cleaning or process equipment to enter drains.**
 - ▶ It may be necessary to collect all wash water for treatment before disposal.
 - ▶ In all cases disposal to sewer may be subject to local laws and regulations and these should be considered first.
 - ▶ Where in doubt contact the responsible authority.
 - ▶ Recycle wherever possible.
 - ▶ Consult manufacturer for recycling options or consult local or regional waste management authority for disposal if no suitable treatment or disposal facility can be identified.
 - ▶ Dispose of by: burial in a land-fill specifically licensed to accept chemical and / or pharmaceutical wastes or incineration in a licensed apparatus (after admixture with suitable combustible material).
 - ▶ Decontaminate empty containers. Observe all label safeguards until containers are cleaned and destroyed.

Ensure that the hazardous substance is disposed in accordance with the Hazardous Substances (Disposal) Notice 2017

Disposal Requirements

Packages that have been in direct contact with the hazardous substance must be only disposed if the hazardous substance was appropriately removed and cleaned out from the package.

The package must be disposed according to the manufacturer's directions taking into account the material it is made of.

Packages which hazardous content have been appropriately treated and removed may be recycled.

The hazardous substance must only be disposed if it has been treated by a method that changed the characteristics or composition of the substance and it is no longer hazardous.

Only dispose to the environment if a tolerable exposure limit has been set for the substance.

Only deposit the hazardous substance into or onto a landfill or sewage facility or incinerator, where the hazardous substance can be handled and treated appropriately.

SECTION 14 TRANSPORT INFORMATION

Labels Required

Marine Pollutant	NO Not Applicable
HAZCHEM	Not Applicable

Land transport (UN): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Air transport (ICAO-IATA / DGR): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Sea transport (IMDG-Code / GGVSee): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL and the IBC code

Not Applicable

SECTION 15 REGULATORY INFORMATION

Safety, health and environmental regulations / legislation specific for the substance or mixture

This substance is to be managed using the conditions specified in an applicable Group Standard

HSR Number	Group Standard
HSR002670	Surface Coatings and Colourants (Subsidiary Hazard) Group Standard 2017

DIETHYLENE GLYCOL MONOBUTYL ETHER(112-34-5) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

TIMBRE PLUS DECKING

GESAMP/EHS Composite List - GESAMP Hazard Profiles

IMO IBC Code Chapter 17: Summary of minimum requirements

IMO MARPOL (Annex II) - List of Noxious Liquid Substances Carried in Bulk

IMO MARPOL 73/78 (Annex II) - List of Other Liquid Substances

IMO Provisional Categorization of Liquid Substances - List 2: Pollutant only mixtures containing at least 99% by weight of components already assessed by IMO

New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act - Classification of Chemicals

New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act - Classification of Chemicals - Classification Data

New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)

ISOTRIDECYL ALCOHOL, ETHOXYLATED(9043-30-5) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations

International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)

New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act - Classification of Chemicals

New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act - Classification of Chemicals - Classification Data

New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)

New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 1 Quantity limits

United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations (English)

BIS(1,2,2,6,6-PENTAMETHYL-4-PIPERIDYL)SEBACATE(41556-26-7) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations

International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)

New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)

New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 1 Quantity limits

New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 3 Segregation requirements for dangerous goods

United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations (English)

TINUVIN 1130(104810-47-1) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations

International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)

New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)

New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 1 Quantity limits

New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 3 Segregation requirements for dangerous goods

United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations (English)

TRIETHYLAMINE(121-44-8) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

GESAMP/EHS Composite List - GESAMP Hazard Profiles

IMO IBC Code Chapter 17: Summary of minimum requirements

IMO MARPOL (Annex II) - List of Noxious Liquid Substances Carried in Bulk

International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations

International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)

New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act - Classification of Chemicals

New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act - Classification of Chemicals - Classification Data

New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)

New Zealand Workplace Exposure Standards (WES)

United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations (English)

TINUVIN 213(104810-48-2) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations

International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)

New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)

New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 1 Quantity limits

New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 3 Segregation requirements for dangerous goods

United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations (English)

METHYL 1,2,2,6,6-PENTAMETHYL-4-PIPERIDYL SEBACATE(82919-37-7) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations

International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)

New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)

New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 1 Quantity limits

New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 3 Segregation requirements for dangerous goods

United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations (English)

3-IODO-2-PROPYNYL BUTYL CARBAMATE(55406-53-6) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations

International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)

New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act - Classification of Chemicals

New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act - Classification of Chemicals - Classification Data

New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)

New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 1 Quantity limits

United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods Model Regulations (English)

ALCOHOLS C6-C12 ETHOXYLATED(68439-45-2) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations
 International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)
 New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act -
 Classification of Chemicals
 New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act -
 Classification of Chemicals - Classification Data

New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)
 New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 1
 Quantity limits
 New Zealand Land Transport Rule: Dangerous Goods 2005 - Schedule 3
 Segregation requirements for dangerous goods
 United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods
 Model Regulations (English)

ZIRCONIUM 2-ETHYLHEXANOATE(22464-99-9) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)

New Zealand Workplace Exposure Standards (WES)

2,2'-BIPYRIDYL(366-18-7) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

International Air Transport Association (IATA) Dangerous Goods Regulations
 International Maritime Dangerous Goods Requirements (IMDG Code)
 New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act -
 Classification of Chemicals

New Zealand Hazardous Substances and New Organisms (HSNO) Act -
 Classification of Chemicals - Classification Data
 New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals (NZIoC)
 United Nations Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods
 Model Regulations (English)

Hazardous Substance Location

Subject to the Health and Safety at Work (Hazardous Substances) Regulations 2017.

Hazard Class	Quantity beyond which controls apply for closed containers	Quantity beyond which controls apply when use occurring in open containers
Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Certified Handler

Subject to Part 4 of the Health and Safety at Work (Hazardous Substances) Regulations 2017.

Class of substance	Quantities
Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Refer Group Standards for further information

Tracking Requirements

Not Applicable

National Inventory Status

National Inventory	Status
Australia - AICS	No (resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Canada - DSL	No (resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Canada - NDSL	No (3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate; triethylamine; diethylene glycol monobutyl ether; bis(1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl)sebacate; alcohols C6-C12 ethoxylated; Tinuvin 213; isotridecyl alcohol, ethoxylated; zirconium 2-ethylhexanoate; Tinuvin 1130; methyl 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl sebacate; 2,2'-bipyridyl; resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
China - IECSC	No (resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Europe - EINEC / ELINCS / NLP	No (Tinuvin 213; Tinuvin 1130; resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Japan - ENCS	No (alcohols C6-C12 ethoxylated; Tinuvin 213; isotridecyl alcohol, ethoxylated; Tinuvin 1130; resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Korea - KECI	No (resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
New Zealand - NZIoC	No (resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Philippines - PICCS	No (resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
USA - TSCA	No (resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Taiwan - TCSI	No (resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Mexico - INSQ	No (Tinuvin 213; isotridecyl alcohol, ethoxylated; zirconium 2-ethylhexanoate; Tinuvin 1130; methyl 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl sebacate; resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Vietnam - NCI	No (resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Russia - ARIPS	No (bis(1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl)sebacate; Tinuvin 213; Tinuvin 1130; methyl 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethyl-4-piperidyl sebacate; resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients
Thailand - TECI	No (alcohols C6-C12 ethoxylated; isotridecyl alcohol, ethoxylated; zirconium 2-ethylhexanoate; resins; isothiazolinones; Ingredients determined not to be hazardous) Non-disclosed ingredients

Legend:

Yes = All declared ingredients are on the inventory

No = Not determined or one or more ingredients are not on the inventory and are not exempt from listing(see specific ingredients in brackets)

SECTION 16 OTHER INFORMATION

Revision Date	01/09/2017
Initial Date	Not Available

Other information**Ingredients with multiple cas numbers**

Name	CAS No
isotridecyl alcohol, ethoxylated	9043-30-5, 112481-34-2, 126730-81-2, 128088-01-7, 128088-02-8, 142901-64-2, 145054-32-6, 165943-41-9, 195395-82-5, 357177-18-5, 53858-87-0, 558452-92-9, 779349-84-7, 8076-51-5, 856005-68-0, 870083-47-9, 9063-90-5, 909706-17-8
alcohols C6-C12 ethoxylated	68439-45-2, 161025-19-0
zirconium 2-ethylhexanoate	22464-99-9, 94581-21-2

Classification of the preparation and its individual components has drawn on official and authoritative sources as well as independent review by the Chemwatch Classification committee using available literature references.

The SDS is a Hazard Communication tool and should be used to assist in the Risk Assessment. Many factors determine whether the reported Hazards are Risks in the workplace or other settings. Risks may be determined by reference to Exposures Scenarios. Scale of use, frequency of use and current or available engineering controls must be considered.

Definitions and abbreviations

PC—TWA: Permissible Concentration-Time Weighted Average
 PC—STEL: Permissible Concentration-Short Term Exposure Limit
 IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer
 ACGIH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
 STEL: Short Term Exposure Limit
 TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit,
 IDLH: Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations
 OSF: Odour Safety Factor
 NOAEL :No Observed Adverse Effect Level
 LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level
 TLV: Threshold Limit Value
 LOD: Limit Of Detection
 OTV: Odour Threshold Value
 BCF: BioConcentration Factors
 BEI: Biological Exposure Index

This document is copyright.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, review or criticism, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission from CHEMWATCH.

TEL (+61 3) 9572 4700.